

FOLEY & LARDNER  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
WASHINGTON HARBOUR  
3000 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007-5109  
TELEPHONE: (202) 672-5300  
FACSIMILE: (202) 672-5399

## FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Total # of Pages 2 (including this page)

TO:	PHONE:	FAX #:
Examiner C. Chace Group Art Unit 2187 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(703) 306-5903	(703) 746-5704

From: Thomas G. Bilodeau  
Sender's Direct Dial: (202) 945-6089  
Date: January 5, 2004  
Andrew M. SPENCER  
Subject: Serial No. 09/996,720  
Filed: November 30, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. 10014185-1

I hereby certify that the following:

**1. Proposed Agenda for Examiner Interview**

was transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by facsimile, addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on January 5, 2004, in regard to the above-identified application.

  
Rayna R. Smith

If there are any problems with this transmission or if you have not received all of the pages, please call (202) 672-5547.

Operator:	Time Sent:	Return Original To:
		Rayna R. Smith

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR ANY AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

**PROPOSED AGENDA FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW**  
**FOR 09/996,720**

Applicants would like to discuss proposed amendments to the independent claims to distinguish from the references applied in the outstanding rejection of the claims. Specifically, applicants would like to discuss proposed amendments to the independent claims to distinguish from the Shimizu and Himoto references.

002:1129727.1